

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,842	09/29/2003	John F. Ackerman	041A.0002.U1(US)	4466
29683	7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE			PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN	
	CT 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1762 DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/674,842	ACKERMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Frederick J. Parker	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 19-27</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18 and 28</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	۲.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	-	d in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d					
dec the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies flot receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
B) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/29/03;3/f7/05</u> .	6) Other:						
Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/674,842 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 21, line 2, the choice of the precursor and solvents ethanol or acetone would be clearer if the solvents were expressed as a Markush Group or similar language. Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claim 16 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 1 on which it ultimately depends requires pure Pt to be deposited in what must be a coating since the Pt deposits would "coat" the substrate surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - Claim 16 is vague and indefinite because it recites 'a substrate", hence it is unclear if it is the same or different from that of claims 1/15 on which it depends.
 - Claim 17 is vague and indefinite because it requires a "pure platinum coating" to be a
 "calcium magnesium aluminum silicon barrier coating" which is confusing and
 contradictory.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,842 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

- Claim 18: "the solution" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application/Control Number: 10/674,842 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mitsunori JP 08-206517 (translation).

Mitsunori teaches to apply pure Pt onto monolithic carriers (substrate) in an apparatus in which a source of Pt precursor, including Pt acetylacetonates [0011] are vaporized and directed to the substrate in a heated zone where, in the presence of oxygen, pure Pt metal is distributed onto the surface of the substrate [0022, etc]. The container is "air-tight" within its meaning in the specification page 6, 4-16 which requires confining reagent vapors with air/ oxygen to mitigate carbon. Therefore, the reference would anticipate claim 28, or alternatively would have been obvious in view of Mitsunori which requires the presence of oxygen which is the carbon mitigating component of air to carry out the decomposition reaction of the Pt precursor.

10. Claims 1-15,19-27 distinguish over the prior art which does not teach nor suggest forming pure Pt on a substrate by applying the precursors of claims 1,21,24,26 to a substrate, wrapping it in a metal/ Al foil, and together heating them to cause decomposition of the precursor to form the pure Pt on a substrate.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 5494704 teaches another CVD method to coat Pt on substrates using precursors in an air-tight reactor volume.

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick J. Parker whose telephone number is 571/272-1426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:15am -3:45pm, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571/272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Frederick J. Parker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762